UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,692	09/05/2003	Akiyoshi Hashimoto	16869S-093900US	6495
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAMINER	
			WHIPPLE, BRIAN P	
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2152	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/655,692	HASHIMOTO, AKIYOSHI
Examiner-induced interview Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian P. Whipple	2152
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>Brian P. Whipple</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Andrew Lee</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 May 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: All		
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner stated to Mr. Lee that he had consulted with his supervisor and could allow claims 1-5, 7, and 9-10 if an examiner's amendment was processed. Claims 11-15 would need to be canceled to expedite the case to allowance. Mr. Lee stated such an examiner's amendment would be acceptable and accordingly the Examiner is sending out an examiner's amendment.

/Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2152